# Use of Seismic Attributes and Modeling in Gas Hydrate Reservoir Interpretation, North Slope, Alaska

#### Abstract

case clontence, commonly known as methane or gas hydrates are m ice-like crystalline solid composed of water and nature gas, primarily methane. Gas hydrates cover in the shallow subsurface where both water and gas are present within low-temperature and moderate-present ergines. The shallow subsurface geology of the North Shope of Alaska is structurally dominated by a broad antiform, known as the Barrow Arch, and is riddled with a myriad of high angle normal flush trending roughly north south and east-west. In addition to the complex structure, the hydrate-bearing units are predominantly fluvial and northward programing delains strating. The complexity of the local geology, coupled with the horizon interpretation of hydrates difficult. In order to gain a better understanding of the hydrate distributions as well as a clearer picture of the structural geology, semine attributes are used in conjuncti on with traditional horizon-to-well-tie interpretation techniques. Several of the attributes, based on the Hilbert Transform, can yield information about the physical properties of the hydrate-bearing units. For example hydrate layers may have an anomalous frequency response based on their relatively high asoutis's tockity. Definition of high-angle faults and possible channel deposits are aided by the use of calculated event trace similarity, out doscontinuities in the seismic data ner brought into better focuss. The use of these attributes, say well as others, yields a clearer picture of hydrate distribution as well as a more accument structural modely.

#### Location

The general survey location for this project is the Prudhoe Bay region of the North Slope of Alaska. More specifically this project focuses on the Milne Point and Northwest Prudhoe Bay lease blocks, (Figure 1).



### Modeling

Finite-difference modeling was done to predict and explain characteristic features of the scienci data for use in hydrate and free-egas identifications. Simple geologic models of planar layers with gas-water contacts as well as hydrate-gas contacts were constructed and tested using Pornaux 2D software. In order to approximate a stacked section, an "exploding reflector" was used in creating the synthetic seismic data. The synthetic seismic data were then compared to the stacked seismic data from theNorth Slope to try to identify potential gas-hydrate and free-gas occurrences where well control was unavailable.





Figure 4. Real date scronple: The figure above is a real date semple showing a strong negative monitode event innorate by a polarity reverar. This response is similar to that found in the modeled setmic data, and is considered a candidate for free-gas occurnulation. The area where the setmic data were acquired has gazes were locavegen in the tablow substrictore, so setmic attributes and modeling become very important in delineating potential gaz-hydrate and free-gas occurnulations:

Figure 3. The velocity model from Figure 2

#### Seismic Attributes, Structural Interpretation

The North Slope of Alaska is riddled with normal faults with the dominant trends NNE-SSW and NN-SE. Many of the faults have small offers and are generally typer high angle: In order an once accurately interpret the faults and determine heir atrike, an Event Similaries and Prediction (ESP) antibute cubes was calculated. The ESP attribute searchild meansys race-to-trace dis-similarity using semblance calculations between adjacent traces and their resulting Manhatan distance. Fight adjacent trace are scanned about a center trace; the trace with the minimum trace-to-trace semblance then has it's Manhatan distance calculated.

In the figures below, regions of high dis-similarity are represented by blue, and regions of relatively low dis-similarity (more uniform geology) are represented by red. The ESP attribute cube clearly delineates the major fault trends and is a significant improvement in aiding fault interpretation compared to conventional 3D seismic data in time-slice view.



Figure 6. Using the Event Similarity Prediction (ESP) attribute, a more accurate fault map was created. As seen above (right), faults and their trends are more obvious than on conventional time-slice seismic data (above left). The dominant fault trend was found to be NNE-SSW, in contrast to the Prudhae bay and Kuparuk regions where the major faults trend

#### Seismic Attributes in Stratigraphic Interpretation

The instantaneous phase attribute is considered a good indicator of continuity of seismic data, and so is useful in identifying and interpreting sequence boundaries. The figure below is an example of the use of the instantaneous phase attribute used to better define a flooding series of the set of the instantaneous phase attribute used to better define a flooding terms.



Distance Figure 7. The floading surface on this seismic line is more clear using an instantaneous phase display. The instantaneous phase attribute is a good indicator of continuity. Here semi-continuous horizons terminate at the floading surface, exhibiting ranka part downibp.

#### Instantaneous Frequency

The instantaneous frequency attribute is considered a good tool for lateral seismic character correlation. In the past, a low instantaneous frequency anomaly has been used to predict coventional hydrocarbons (oil & gas) accumulations in the oil industry. In this case we expect to see a high-frequency anomaly due to the relatively high velocity of the gas hydrates.

## Calculating Seismic Attributes

The Manhattan distance is given by the following equation:  $M_{q} = 100 * \frac{\sum_{N=0}^{K \setminus N + 0.2} \sum_{n=0}^{K \setminus N + 0.2} K + n.2}{\sum_{N=0}^{K \setminus N + 0.2} (G_{N} + ||I_{l_{nel}}|)}$ 

/here: & = Center Trace & = Target Trace = Manhattan Distance = number of samples in the wavelet = integer sample shift = Center sample of the reference tra

Instantaneous phase is a seismic attribute based on the Hilbert transform, and is given by the equation;

# $\Phi(t) = \arctan \left( \operatorname{Im}(G(t)) / \operatorname{Re}(G(t)) \right)$

Where  $\Phi(1)$  is the instantaneous phase value at time t, arctan is the inverse tangent, G(1) is the value of the seismic trace at time t, R e is the real or recorded part of the seismic trace, and Im is the imaginary part of the seismic trace determined by the Hilbert transform.

Instantaneous frequency is also based on the Hilbert transform and is given by the derivative of the

ataneous phase; d([))t Where () is the instantaneous frequency, and () is phase

We expect to see a high frequency anomaly associated with gas hydrate accumulations based on the relatively high acoustic velocity of hydrates compared to surrounding

ff) =

strata.



Figure 8. The above figure shows a potential correlation of gas hydrate picks by Tim Collett (USSS), with high instantaneous frequency values. Low instantaneous frequency anomalies have been used in the past to help identify free gas. In the case of gas hydrates, the difference in instantaneous frequency is probably due to the difference in lastist properties.



Figure 8. The above figure is a 3D model of what may be a small gas reservoir. In this 3D environment, volumes at seismic and/or athibuted data can be selected based on amplitude or athibute characteristics to yield accurate volumetric calculations and a more complete picture of the reservoir. This image was created using Landmark Graphics software (EarthCube).

## Acknowledgments

The creation of this poster was aided by discussions with Bob Casavant, input was also provided by Mary Poulton, Robert Hunter, Tim Collett, and Dave Tayler. Data preparation was aided by Anderev Hennes. Thanks to Steve Storessens, without whose comparter expertise filts project world and bet possible. Further attribute correlations using neural networks are being done by Bo (Ales) Zhao and Mary Poulton in Mining and Colosigned Engineering.

This poster was prepared with the support of the U.S. Department of Energy under Award No. DE-FC26-01NT41322. However, any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the DOE or BP.

